UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 77 - 373 CRB
Plaintiff, v. Krystal Flores	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FILED
Defendant.	AUG 1 4 2017 SUSAN Y. SOONG
For the reasons stated by the parties on the reconspeedy Trial Act from 14 Aug 17, to the continuance outweigh the best interest of \$161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding a	
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	nplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial blished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance w taking into account the exercise	of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance we counsel's other scheduled case of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(yould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. iv).
Failure to grant a continuance we necessary for effective preparate See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(1)	yould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: Agly, 2017	Sly D Grad United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	R. Leonard/R.D.